

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,964	MANFREDI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David A. Lambertson	1636	
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> _____	
(1) <u>David A. Lambertson, Ph.D.</u>		(3) <u>Jay Zhang</u> .	
(2) <u>Andrew Gibbs</u> .		(4) _____.	
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>26 April 2004</u>		<b>Time:</b> <u>4 pm</u>	
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
<b>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>35 USC § 112, second paragraph</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>67, 72-75 and 92</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)		(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a possible amendment to claims 67, 72-75 and 92 to obviate the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph. With regard to the Examiner's Amendment concerning the rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, it was agreed that the claims are being allowed with the understanding that there is a dynamic to molecular binding. For the sole purpose of advancing the prosecution to allowance, and without acquiescing to the correctness of the Office's position on any of the rejections, Applicant's representative then approved the attached Examiner's amendment..